

Reading List for Analytical Scientists

Contents

Introduction and scope	2
Introduction to metrology and terminology.....	3
Traceability of measurement results.....	4
Uncertainty of measurement	6
Qualitative analysis	9
Sampling	9
Statistics	11
Validation of analytical methods.....	13
Reference materials	16
Proficiency testing	17
Internal quality control	19
Quality assurance and accreditation	20

Introduction and scope

This reading list has been prepared by members of the Eurachem Education and Training Working Group. It is based on bibliographies originally produced by TrainMiC® and LGC (in its role as the UK's National Measurement Laboratory and Designated Institute for chemical and bio-measurement, www.lgcgroup.com/nml) – but has been substantially updated. The main focus of the references contained in the list is metrology in chemistry, with a particular focus on quality assurance. However, it is anticipated that the references will also be of interest to those working in other disciplines. It is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all publications relating to quality in analytical measurement. The aim is to provide references to a selection of websites, standards, guides and books which will hopefully be of use to all those involved with chemical analysis (and related disciplines), including laboratory staff, students, lecturers and trainers.

Many of the references provided in this list are available to download free of charge, in particular documents published by Eurachem, JCGM, Euramet, Eurolab, ILAC, EA and accreditation bodies.

The aim is to update the bibliography annually. The Working Group welcomes suggestions for additions to the bibliography. Please send any additional references to the Working Group Chair (www.eurachem.org/index.php/component/contact/contact/60-ct-exec/10-execvjb).

Updates or additions are identified by a side bar.

Eurachem provides this list for information and is not responsible for the content or advice given in the resources listed. Any software listed has not been independently validated by Eurachem.

References are current as of 16 June 2023.

Introduction to metrology and terminology

Websites and web resources

- CIPM Mutual recognition arrangement (MRA) of national measurement standards and of calibration and measurement certificates issued by national metrology institutes (www.bipm.org/en/cipm-mra/)
- ISO online browsing platform – access ISO standards, terms and definitions (www.iso.org/obp/ui/)
- VIM Definitions with Informative Annotations, JCGM-WG2 (<https://jcgm.bipm.org/vim/en/index.html>)

Standards

- BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ILAC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP, OIML. JCGM 200:2012 (JCGM 200:2008 with minor corrections), International vocabulary of metrology – Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM3) (www.bipm.org) (Earlier version printed as ISO Guide 99:2007 (www.iso.org))
- ISO 80000-1:2022. Quantities and units – Part 1: General (www.iso.org)

Guides

- V. Barwick (Ed) Eurachem Guide: Terminology in analytical measurement – Introduction to VIM3 (2nd ed. 2023). ISBN 978-0-948926-40-2 (www.eurachem.org)
- A beginner's guide to measurement, v3, Good practice guide no. 118, NPL, 2010, ISSN: 1368-6550 (<https://www.npl.co.uk/gpgs/beginners-guide-to-measurement>)
- The International System of Units (SI), 9th Edition, 2019 (v2.01, December 2022) (www.bipm.org)

Books

- Compendium of Chemical Terminology, 2nd ed. (the 'Gold Book'). Compiled by A. D. McNaught and A. Wilkinson. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford (1997). Online version (2019-) created by S. J. Chalk. ISBN 0-9678550-9-8. (<https://goldbook.iupac.org/>)
- IUPAC Quantities, units and symbols in physical chemistry ('Green Book'), 3rd Edition, 2007, ISBN 978-0-85404-433-7 (<https://iupac.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/IUPAC-GB3-2012-2ndPrinting-PDFsearchable.pdf>)
- Compendium of terminology in analytical chemistry ('Orange Book'), 4th edition, D. Brynn Hibbert (Ed), The Royal Society of Chemistry (2023), ISBN (print): 978-1-78262-947-4, <https://doi.org/10.1039/9781788012881> [Note: IUPAC Compendium of analytical nomenclature, ('Orange Book'), 3rd Edition available at https://media.iupac.org/publications/analytical_compndium/]

Leaflets

- Eurachem information leaflet
 - You talk, we understand – The way out of the tower of Babel [An introduction to measurement terminology] (2015, updated 2023) (www.eurachem.org)
- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):
 - AMC TB 86-2019 Revision of the International System of Units (Background paper)
 - AMC TB 76-2016, Chemical metrology
 - AMC TB 19-2005, Terminology – the key to understanding analytical science. Part 2: Sampling and sample preparation
 - AMC TB 13-2003, Terminology – the key to understanding analytical science. Part 1: Accuracy, precision and uncertainty

Traceability of measurement results

Standards

- ISO 8466-1:2021 Water quality – Calibration and evaluation of analytical methods – Part 1: Linear calibration function (www.iso.org)
- ISO 8466-2:2001 Water quality – Calibration and evaluation of analytical methods and estimation of performance characteristics – Part 2: Calibration strategy for non-linear second-order calibration functions (www.iso.org)
- ISO 11095:1996 Linear calibration using reference materials (www.iso.org)
- ISO 17511:2020 In vitro diagnostic medical devices — Requirements for establishing metrological traceability of values assigned to calibrators, trueness control materials and human samples (www.iso.org)
- ISO 18153:2003. In vitro diagnostic medical devices – Measurement of quantities in biological samples – Metrological traceability of values for catalytic concentration of enzymes assigned calibrators and control materials (www.iso.org)
- ISO/TS 28037:2010. Determination and use of straight-line calibration functions (www.iso.org)

Guides

- S.L.R. Ellison and A. Williams (Eds) Eurachem/CITAC Guide: Metrological traceability in analytical measurement (2nd ed. 2019). ISBN 978-0-948926-34-1 (www.eurachem.org)
- Guidance on the calibration of weighing machines used in testing and calibration laboratories, Lab 14, Edition 7, UKAS, 2022 (www.ukas.com)
- ILAC P10:07/2020, ILAC Policy on metrological traceability of measurement results (www.ilac.org)
- ILAC G24:2022, Guidelines for the determination of recalibration intervals of measuring equipment (www.ilac.org)
- Traceability: Volumetric apparatus, LAB 15 Edition 3, UKAS, 2019 (www.ukas.com)
- Guidelines on the calibration of non-automatic weighing instruments, cg-18 Version 4.0, EURAMET, 11/2015, (www.euramet.org)
- N. Majcen, P. Taylor, T. Martišius, A. Menditto, M. Patriarca, Practical examples on traceability, measurement uncertainty and validation in chemistry Vol 2, 2011, European Commission, Joint Research Centre (<https://bookshop.europa.eu/en/home/>)
- N. Majcen, P. Taylor, Practical examples on traceability, measurement uncertainty and validation in chemistry Vol 1, 2010, European Commission, Joint Research Centre (<https://bookshop.europa.eu/en/home/>)

Books

- A. Moutzoglou, A. Kastania and S. Archonakis, Laboratory Management Information Systems – Current Requirements and Future Perspectives, IGI Global, 2014, ISBN 978-1-4666-6320-6
- P. De Bièvre, H. Günzler (Eds), Traceability of chemical measurement, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg Berlin, 2005, ISBN 3642078834

Leaflets

- Eurachem information leaflet
 - Metrological traceability of analytical results (2005, updated 2019) (www.eurachem.org)
- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):

- AMC TB 99-2020, Units and quantities for analytical chemistry

Articles and reports

- F. Raposo, Evaluation of analytical calibration based on least-squares linear regression for instrumental techniques: A tutorial review, TRAC-Trend. Anal. Chem., 2016, 77, 167-185, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2015.12.006>
- P. De Bièvre, R. Dybkaer, A. Fajgelj, D. Brynn Hibbert, Metrological traceability of measurement results in chemistry: Concepts and implementation (IUPAC Technical Report), Pure Appl. Chem., 2011, 83 (10), 1873-1935, <https://doi.org/10.1351/PAC-REP-07-09-39>
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Metrological traceability and its implementation: a report, Joint IFCC-CLSI project, CLSI document, EP32-R (Formerly X05-R). CLSI, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA, 2006 (Sample available from www.ifcc.org or www.clsi.org)
- K. Danzer, M. Otto, L. A. Currie, Guidelines for calibration in analytical chemistry, Part 2: Multispecies calibration, IUPAC Technical Report, Pure Appl. Chem., 2004, 76 (6), 1215-1225, <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200476061215>
- L. Cuadros-Rodriguez, L. Gamiz-Gracia, E. Almansa-Loèpez, J. Laso-Sanchez, Calibration in chemical measurement processes: 1. A metrological approach, TRAC-Trend. Anal. Chem., 2001, 20 (4), 195-206, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-9936\(00\)00093-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-9936(00)00093-5)
- C. D. Ehrlich, S. D. Rasberry, Metrological timelines in traceability, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol., 1998, 103, 93-105 (www.nist.gov)
- K. Danzer, L. A. Currie, Guidelines for calibration in analytical chemistry part 1: Fundamentals and single component calibration, IUPAC Recommendation 1998, Pure Appl. Chem., 1998, 70 (4), 993-1014, <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac199870040993>

Uncertainty of measurement

Standards

- BIPM, IEC, IFCC, ISO, IUPAC, IUPAP, OIML JCGM 100:2008, Evaluation of measurement data – Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM 1995 with minor corrections) (www.bipm.org) (Printed as ISO/IEC Guide 98-3:2008 (www.iso.org))
- JCGM has produced a number of supplements to accompany the GUM, available at www.bipm.org:
 - Evaluation of measurement data – The role of measurement uncertainty in conformity assessment, JCGM 106:2012
 - Evaluation of measurement data – Supplement 2 to the "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement" – Extension to any number of output quantities, JCGM 102:2011
 - Evaluation of measurement data – An introduction to the "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement" and related documents, JCGM 104:2009
 - Evaluation of measurement data – Supplement 1 to the "Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement" – Propagation of distributions using a Monte Carlo method, JCGM 101:2008
- ISO 11352:2012. Water quality – Estimation of measurement uncertainty based on validation and quality control data (www.iso.org)
- ISO/TS 20914:2019. Medical laboratories – Practical guidance for the estimation of measurement uncertainty (www.iso.org)
- ISO 21748:2017. Guidance for the use of repeatability, reproducibility and trueness estimates in measurement uncertainty estimation (www.iso.org)
- ISO/TS 21749:2005. Measurement uncertainty for metrological applications – Repeated measurements and nested experiments (www.iso.org)
- ISO/TS 28037:2010. Determination and use of straight line calibration functions (www.iso.org)

Guides

- A. Williams and B. Magnusson (Eds) Eurachem/CITAC Guide: Use of uncertainty information in compliance assessment (2nd ed. 2021). ISBN 978-0-948926-38-9 (www.eurachem.org)
- R. Bettencourt da Silva, A. Williams (Eds) Eurachem/CITAC Guide: Setting and using target uncertainty in chemical measurement (2015). ISBN 978-989-98723-7-0 (www.eurachem.org)
- S. L. R. Ellison, A. Williams (Eds) Eurachem/CITAC Guide: Quantifying uncertainty in analytical measurement (3rd ed. 2012). ISBN 978-0-948926-30-3 (www.eurachem.org)
- ILAC G8:09/2019, Guidelines on decision rules and statements of conformity (www.ilac.org)
- ILAC G17:01/2021 ILAC Guidelines for Measurement Uncertainty in Testing (www.ilac.org)
- ILAC P14:09/2020, ILAC Policy for measurement uncertainty in calibration (www.ilac.org)
- Decision rules applied to conformity assessment, Technical report No. 01/2017, EUROLAB, 2017 (www.eurolab.org)
- B. Magnusson, T. Näykki, H. Hovind, M. Krysell, Handbook for calculation of measurement uncertainty in environmental laboratories, Nordtest Report TR 537 ed 4:2017 (www.nordtest.info)
- EA-4/02 M, Evaluation of the uncertainty of measurement in calibration, 2022 (www.european-accreditation.org)
- N. Majcen and V Gegevičius (Ed.), Analytical measurement: measurement uncertainty and statistics, 2012, European Commission, Joint Research Centre, ISBN 978-92-79-23071-4 (<https://bookshop.europa.eu/en/home/>)

- N. Majcen, P. Taylor, T. Martišius, A. Menditto, M. Patriarca, Practical examples on traceability, measurement uncertainty and validation in chemistry Vol 2, 2011, European Commission, Joint Research Centre (<https://bookshop.europa.eu/en/home/>)
- N. Majcen, P. Taylor, L. Benedik, Practical examples on traceability, measurement uncertainty and validation in chemistry Vol 1, 2010, European Commission, Joint Research Centre (<https://bookshop.europa.eu/en/home/>)
- Measurement uncertainty revisited: Alternative approaches to uncertainty evaluation, Technical report No. 1/2007, EUROLAB, 2007 (www.eurolab.org)
- Guide to the evaluation of measurement uncertainty for quantitative tests results, Technical report No. 1/2006, EUROLAB, 2006 (www.eurolab.org)
- Measurement uncertainty in testing, Technical report No. 1/2002, EUROLAB, 2002 (www.eurolab.org)

Leaflets

- Eurachem information leaflets (www.eurachem.org)
 - What is the uncertainty factor? (2021)
 - Use of uncertainty information in compliance assessment (updated 2021)
 - Setting target measurement uncertainty (2018)
 - Treatment of an observed bias (2017)
 - Using repeated measurements to improve the standard uncertainty (2nd edition, 2016)
 - Important information to our customers concerning the quality of measurements (2000)
- Eurolab cookbooks (<https://www.eurolab.org/pubs-cookbooks>)
 - Doc No. 8, Determination of conformance with specifications using measurement uncertainties – possible strategies, revision no. 3, 09/2018
- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):
 - AMC TB 112-2022, Comparing measurement uncertainty values
 - AMC TB 105-2020, How reliable is your uncertainty estimate?
 - AMC TB 88-2019, Why do we need the uncertainty factor?
 - AMC TB 53-2012, Dark uncertainty
 - AMC TB 32-2008, Optimising your uncertainty – a case study
 - AMC TB 26A-2008, Measurement uncertainty and confidence intervals near natural limits
 - AMC TB 22-2006, Uncertainties in concentrations estimated from calibration experiments
 - AMC TB 21A-2008, The estimation and use of recovery factors
 - AMC TB 15-2003, Is my uncertainty estimate realistic?

Articles and reports

- A. C. Olivieri, N. M. Faber, J. Ferré, R. Boqué, J. H. Kalivas, H. Mark, Uncertainty estimation and figures of merit for multivariate calibration, IUPAC Technical Report, Pure Appl. Chem., 2006, 78(3), 633-661, <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200678030633>
- J. Kragten, Calculating standard deviations and confidence intervals with a universally applicable spreadsheet technique, Analyst, 1994, 119, 2161-2166, <https://doi.org/10.1039/AN9941902161>

Software

- ForMEQ - Spreadsheet for automatizing conformity assessments (English Version), May 2020 (<https://formeq.org/avaliacao-automatica-de-conformidade/>)

Qualitative analysis

Standards

- ISO/TR 79:2015, Reference materials – Examples of reference materials for qualitative properties (www.iso.org).

Guides

- R. Bettencourt da Silva and S. L. R. Ellison (Eds.). Eurachem/CITAC Guide: Assessment of performance and uncertainty in qualitative chemical analysis. (2021). ISBN 978-0-948926-39-6 (www.eurachem.org)
- G. Nordin, R. Dybkaer, U. Forsum, X. Fuentes-Arderiu and F. Pontet, Vocabulary on nominal property, examination, and related concepts for clinical laboratory sciences (IFCC-IUPAC Recommendations 2017), Pure Appl. Chem., 2018, 90(5), 913-935, <https://doi.org/10.1515/pac-2011-0613>

Articles and reports

- V. Morgado, C. Palma and R. J. N. Bettencourt da Silva, Microplastics identification by Infrared spectroscopy – Evaluation of identification criteria and uncertainty by the Bootstrap method, Talanta, 2021, 224, 121814, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2020.121814>
- J. Narciso, C. Luz and R. B. d. Silva, Assessment of the Quality of Doping Substances Identification in Urine by GC/MS/MS, Anal. Chem., 2019, 91, 6638-6644 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.9b00560>
- P. Pereira, B. Magnusson, E. Theodorsson, J. O. Westgard and P. Encarnação, Measurement uncertainty as a tool for evaluating the 'grey zone' to reduce the false negatives in immunochemical screening of blood donors for infectious diseases, Accred. Qual. Assur., 2016, 21, 25-32, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00769-015-1180-x>
- R. B. Silva, Evaluation of trace analyte identification in complex matrices by low-resolution gas chromatography - mass spectrometry through signal simulation, Talanta, 2016, 150, 553-567, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2015.12.033>
- S. L. R. Ellison, C. A. English, M. J. Burns and J. T. Keer, Routes to improving the reliability of low level DNA analysis using real-time PCR, BMC Biotechnology, 2006, 6, 1-11, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6750-6-33>
- S. L. R. Ellison and T. Fearn, Characterising the performance of qualitative analytical methods: Statistics and terminology, Trends Anal. Chem., 2005, 24, 468-476, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2005.03.007>
- A. Ríos, D. Barceló, L. Buydens, S. Cárdenas, K. Heydorn, B. Karlberg, K. Klemm, B. Lendl, B. Milman, B. Neidhart, R. W. Stephany, A. Townshend, A. Zschunke and M. Valcárcel, Quality assurance of qualitative analysis in the framework of the European project 'MEQUALAN', Accred. Qual. Assur., 2003, 8, 68-77, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00769-002-0556-x>
- R. Bramley, A. Brown, S. Ellison, W. Hardcastle and A. Martin, Qualitative analysis: A guide to best practice - forensic science extension, Sci. Justice, 2000, 40(3), 163-170, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306\(00\)71971-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1355-0306(00)71971-X)
- S. L. R. Ellison, Uncertainties in qualitative testing and analysis, Accred. Qual. Assur., 2000, 5, 346-348, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s007690000212>
- S. L. R. Ellison and S. Gregory, Quantifying uncertainty in qualitative analysis, Analyst, 1998, 123, 1155-1161, <https://doi.org/10.1039/A707970B>
- S. L. R. Ellison and S. L. Gregory, Predicting chance infrared spectroscopic matching frequencies, Anal. Chim. Acta, 1998, 370, 181-190, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(98\)00268-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(98)00268-2)

Sampling

Guides

- M. H. Ramsey, S. L. R. Ellison and P. Rostron (Eds.) Eurachem/EUROLAB/CITAC/Nordtest/AMC Guide: Measurement uncertainty arising from sampling: a guide to methods and approaches. (2nd ed., 2019). ISBN 978-0-948926-35-8 (www.eurachem.org)
- B. Magnusson, M. Krysell, E. Sahlin and T. Näykki, Uncertainty from sampling – A Nordtest Handbook, Nordtest Report TR 604 ed 2:2020 (www.nordtest.info)

Leaflets

- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):
 - AMC TB 96-2020, What's novel in the new Eurachem guide on uncertainty from sampling?
 - AMC TB 90-2019, The role of accreditation in ensuring sampling quality
 - AMC TB 71-2015, Sampling theory and sampling uncertainty
 - AMC TB 64-2014, Unbalanced robust ANOVA for the estimation of measurement uncertainty at reduced cost
 - AMC TB 58-2014, Estimating sampling uncertainty – how many duplicate samples are needed?
 - AMC TB 42-2009, The importance, for regulation, of uncertainty from sampling
 - AMC TB 40-2009, The duplicate method for the estimation of measurement uncertainty arising from sampling
 - AMC TB 20-2005, Analytical and sampling strategy, fitness for purpose, and computer games
 - AMC TB 16A-2004, What is uncertainty from sampling, and why is it important?

Articles and reports

- P.D. Rostron, T. Fearn, M. H. Ramsey, Comparing Uncertainties – Are they really different?, *Accred. Qual. Assur.*, 2022, 27, 133–142, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00769-022-01501-2>
- P. D. Rostron, T. Fearn, M. H. Ramsey, Confidence intervals for robust estimates of measurement uncertainty, *Accred. Qual. Assur.*, 2020, 25, 101-119, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00769-019-01417-4>
- W. Horwitz, Nomenclature for sampling in analytical chemistry, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1990, 62, 1193-1208, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1351/pac199062061193>

Statistics

Web resources

- NIST/SEMATECH Engineering Statistics Handbook (www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook/)
- Data Science Textbook (<https://docs.tibco.com/data-science/textbook>)

Standards

- ISO 3534-1:2006. Statistics – Vocabulary and symbols – Part 1: General statistical terms and terms used in probability (www.iso.org)
- ISO 3534-2:2006. Statistics – Vocabulary and symbols – Part 2: Applied statistics (www.iso.org)
- ISO 3534-3:2013. Statistics – Vocabulary and symbols – Part 3: Design of experiments (www.iso.org)
- ISO 3534-4:2014. Statistics – Vocabulary and symbols – Part 4: Survey sampling (www.iso.org)

Books

- S. Crowder, C. Delker, E. Forrest, N. Martin, Introduction to statistics in metrology. Springer, 2020, ISBN 978-3-030-53328-1
- J. N. Miller, J. C. Miller, R. D. Miller, Statistics and chemometrics for analytical chemistry, 7th Pearson Education, 2018, ISBN 978-1-292-18671-9
- J. V. Stone, Bayes' Rule: A Tutorial Introduction to Bayesian Analysis, Sebtel Press, 2013, ISBN 978-0-9563728-4-0
- D. P. Kroese, T. Taimre, Z. I. Botev, Handbook of Monte Carlo methods, Wiley, 2011, ISBN 978-0-470-17793-8
- M. Thompson and P. J. Lowthian, Notes on statistics and data quality for analytical chemists, Imperial College Press, 2011, ISBN 978-1-84816-617-2
- S. L. R. Ellison, V. J. Barwick, T. J. Duguid Farrant, Practical statistics for the analytical scientist: A bench guide, 2nd Edition, RSC, 2009, ISBN 978-0-85404-131-2
- E. Mullins, Statistics for the quality control chemistry laboratory, RSC, 2003, ISBN 978-0-85404-671-3

Leaflets

- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):
 - AMC TB 100-2021, Multivariate statistics in the analytical laboratory (1): an introduction
 - AMC TB 95-2020, Experimental design and optimisation (5): an introduction to optimisation
 - AMC TB 93-2020, To p or not to p: the use of p-values in analytical science
 - AMC TB 87-2019, The correlation between regression coefficients: combined significance testing for calibration and quantitation of bias
 - AMC TB 82-2017, Are my data normal?
 - AMC TB 72-2016, AMC Datasets – a resource for analytical scientists
 - AMC TB 69-2015, Using the Grubbs and Cochran tests to identify outliers
 - AMC TB 57-2013, An introduction to non-parametric statistics
 - AMC TB 55-2013, Experimental design and optimisation (4): Plackett-Burman designs
 - AMC TB 52-2013, Bayesian statistics in action

- AMC TB 50-2012, Robust regression: An introduction
- AMC TB 39-2009, Rogues and suspects: How to tackle outliers
- AMC TB 38-2009, Significance, importance and power
- AMC TB 37-2009, Standard additions: myth and reality
- AMC TB 36-2009, experimental design and optimisation (3): some fractional factorial designs
- AMC TB 30-2008, The standard deviation of the sum of several variables
- AMC TB 27-2007, Why are we weighting?
- AMC TB 26-2006, Experimental design and optimisation (2): Handling uncontrolled factors
- AMC TB 24-2006, Experimental design and optimisation (1): An introduction to some basic concepts
- AMC TB 23-2006, Mixture models for describing multimodal data
- AMC TB 14-2003, A glimpse into Bayesian statistics
- AMC TB 10-2002, Fitting a linear functional relationship to data with error on both variables
- AMC TB 08-2001, The Bootstrap: A Simple Approach to Estimating Standard Errors and Confidence – Intervals when Theory Fails
- AMC TB 06-2001, Robust statistics: a method of coping with outliers
- AMC TB 04-2001 (revised March 2006), Representing data distributions with kernel density estimates

Software

- AMC Statistical Software (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/software/>)

Validation of analytical methods

Standards

- ASTM E1169 – 21. Standard practice for conducting ruggedness tests. ASTM International (www.astm.org)
- ISO 5725-1:1994. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 1: General principles and definitions (and Corrigendum 1:1998) (www.iso.org)
- ISO 5725-2:2019. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method (www.iso.org)
- ISO 5725-3:1994. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 3: Intermediate measures of the precision of a standard measurement method (and Corrigendum 1:2001) (www.iso.org)
- ISO 5725-4:2020. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 4: Basic methods for the determination of the trueness of a standard measurement method (www.iso.org)
- ISO 5725-5:1998. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 5: Alternative methods for the determination of the precision of a standard measurement method (and Corrigendum 1: 2005) (www.iso.org)
- ISO 5725-6:1994. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results – Part 6: Use in practice of accuracy values (and Corrigendum 1: 2001) (www.iso.org)
- ISO 8466-1:2021. Water quality — Calibration and evaluation of analytical methods and estimation of performance characteristics — Part 1: Statistical evaluation of the linear calibration function
- ISO 11843-1:1997. Capability of detection – Part 1: Terms and definitions (and Technical Corrigendum 1: 2003) (www.iso.org)
- ISO 11843-2: 2000. Capability of detection – Part 2: Methodology in the linear calibration case (and Technical Corrigendum 1:2007) (www.iso.org)
- ISO 11843-5:2008. Capability of detection – Part 5: Methodology in the linear and non-linear calibration cases (and Amendment 1:2017) (www.iso.org)
- ISO 13843:2017. Water quality – Requirements for establishing performance characteristics of quantitative microbiological methods (www.iso.org)
- ISO 15796:2005. Gas analysis – Investigation and treatment of analytical bias (www.iso.org)
- ISO 16140-1:2016. Microbiology of the food chain – Method validation – Part 1: Vocabulary (www.iso.org)
- ISO 16140-2:2016. Microbiology of the food chain – Method validation – Part 2: Protocol for the validation of alternative (proprietary) methods against a reference method (www.iso.org)
- ISO 16140-3:2021. Microbiology of the food chain – Method validation – Part 3: Protocol for the verification of reference methods and validated alternative methods in a single laboratory (www.iso.org)
- ISO 16140-4:2020. Microbiology of the food chain – Method validation – Part 4: Protocol for method validation in a single laboratory (www.iso.org)
- ISO 16140-5:2020 Microbiology of the food chain – Method validation – Part 5: Protocol for factorial interlaboratory validation for non-proprietary methods (www.iso.org)
- ISO 16140-6:2019. Microbiology of the food chain – Method validation – Part 6: Protocol for the validation of alternative (proprietary) methods for microbiological confirmation and typing procedures
- ISO 20395:2019 Biotechnology - Requirements for evaluating the performance of quantification methods for nucleic acid target sequences - qPCR and dPCR (www.iso.org)

Guides

- ICH Bioanalytical method validation and study sample analysis M10 2022 (www.ich.org)
- B. Magnusson and U. Örnemark (Eds) Eurachem Guide: The fitness for purpose of analytical methods. A laboratory guide to method validation and related topics (2nd ed. 2014) ISBN 978-91-87461-59-0 (www.eurachem.org)
- V. Barwick (Ed.) Planning and Reporting Method Validation Studies – Supplement to Eurachem Guide on the Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods (2019) (www.eurachem.org)
- H. Cantwell (Ed.) Blanks in Method Validation – Supplement to Eurachem Guide The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods (2019) (www.eurachem.org)
- NordVal International Protocol for the validation of microbiological alternative (proprietary) methods against a reference method – Protocol No. 1 (January 2022) (www.nmkl.org)
- Guidelines for validation of qualitative binary chemistry methods, AOAC International Stakeholder Panel on Alternative Methods, Approved 2014 (www.aoac.org)
- AOAC International Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces, 24 February 2012 (www.aoac.org)
- Protocols for Determination of Limits of Detection and Limits of Quantitation; Approved Guideline Second Edition (EP17-A2), CLSI, 2012 (www.clsi.org)
- N. Majcen, P. Taylor, T. Martišius, A. Menditto, M. Patriarca, Practical examples on traceability, measurement uncertainty and validation in chemistry Vol 2, 2011, European Commission, Joint Research Centre (<https://bookshop.europa.eu/en/home/>)
- N. Majcen, P. Taylor, L. Benedik, Practical examples on traceability, measurement uncertainty and validation in chemistry Vol 1, 2010, European Commission, Joint Research Centre (<https://bookshop.europa.eu/en/home/>)
- ICH Validation of Analytical Procedures :Text and Methodology Q2(R1) 2005 (www.ich.org)

Books

- E. Voigtman, Limits of detection in chemical analysis, John Wiley & Sons, 2017, ISBN 978-1-119-18897-1
- M. L. J. Weitzel, W. M. Johnson, Application of ISO/IEC 17025 technical requirements in industrial laboratories: Method validation, FriesenPress, 2013, ISBN 978 1460210277
- M. E. Swartz, I. S. Krull, Handbook of analytical validation, CRC Press, 2012, ISBN 978 0824706890
- L. Huber, Validation and qualification in analytical laboratories, 2nd Edition, Informa Healthcare, 2007, ISBN 978 0849382673
- C. Burgess, Valid analytical methods and procedures, RSC, 2000, ISBN 978 0 85404 482 5

Leaflets

- Eurachem information leaflets (www.eurachem.org)
 - The importance of method validation (2021)
 - Treatment of an observed bias (2017)
- Eurolab cookbooks (<https://www.eurolab.org/pubs-cookbooks>)
 - Doc No. 1, Selection, verification and validation of methods, revision no. 4, 07/2020
 - Doc No. 15, The assessment of the trueness of a measurement procedure by use of a reference material (RM), revision no. 3, 10/2018

- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):
 - AMC TB 110-2022 Summarising the precision statistics from collaborative trials
 - AMC TB 92-2020, The edge of reason: reporting and inference near the detection limit
 - AMC TB 70-2015, An analyst's guide to precision
 - AMC TB 17-2004, The amazing Horwitz function
 - AMC TB 13-2003, Terminology – the key to understanding analytical science. Part 1: Accuracy, precision and uncertainty
 - AMC TB 05-2001, What should be done with results below the detection limit? Mentioning the unmentionable
 - AMC TB 03-2005 (revised December 2005), Is my calibration linear?

Articles and reports

- D. T. Burns, K. Danzer, A. Townshend, Use of the term "recovery" and "apparent recovery" in analytical procedures, IUPAC Recommendation 2002, Pure Appl. Chem., 2002, 74(11), 2201-2205, <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200274112201>
- M. Thompson, S. L. R. Ellison, R. Wood, Harmonised guidelines for single-laboratory validation of methods of analysis, IUPAC Technical report, Pure Appl. Chem., 2002, 74(5), 835-855, <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200274050835>
- J. Vessman, R. I. Stefan, J. Van Staden, K. Anzer, W. Lindner, D. Thorburn Burns, A. Fajgelj, H. Müller, Selectivity in analytical chemistry, IUPAC Recommendation 2001, Pure Appl. Chem., 2001, 73(8), 1381-1386, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1351/pac200173081381>
- M. Thompson, S. L. R. Ellison, A. Fajgelj, P. Willetts, R. Wood, Harmonized guidelines for the use of recovery information in analytical measurement, ISO, IUPAC and AOAC International Technical report, Pure Appl. Chem., 1999, 71 (2), 337-348, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1351/pac199971020337>
- L. A. Currie, Nomenclature in evaluation of analytical methods including detection and quantification capabilities, IUPAC Recommendations 1995, Pure Appl. Chem., 1995, 67(10), 1699-1723, <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac199567101699> (also available in Anal. Chim. Acta, 1999, 391, 105-126, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(99\)00104-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(99)00104-X))

Reference materials

Websites and web resources

- COMAR international database for certified reference materials (<https://www.comar.bam.de/>)

Standards

- ISO Guide 30:2015. Reference materials – Selected terms and definitions (www.iso.org)
- ISO Guide 31:2015. Reference materials – Contents of certificates and labels (www.iso.org)
- ISO Guide 33:2015. Reference materials – Good practice in using reference materials (www.iso.org)
- ISO 17034:2016. General requirements for the competence of reference material producers (www.iso.org)
- ISO Guide 35:2017. Reference materials – Guidance for characterization and assessment of homogeneity and stability (www.iso.org)
- ISO Guide 80:2014. Guidance for the in-house preparation of quality control materials (QCMs) (www.iso.org)
- ISO/TR 10989:2009. Reference materials – Guidance on, and keywords used for, RM categorization (www.iso.org)
- ISO 11095:1996. Linear calibration using reference materials (www.iso.org)
- ISO 15194:2009. In vitro diagnostic medical devices – Measurement of quantities in samples of biological origin – Requirements for certified reference materials and the content of supporting documentation (www.iso.org)

Guides

- The Selection and use of Reference Materials, EEE/RM/062rev3, Eurachem, 2002 (www.eurachem.org)

Books

- M. Stoeppler, W.R. Wolf, P.J. Jenks (eds.), Reference materials for chemical analysis – certification, availability and proper usage, Wiley-VCH, 2001, ISBN 3-527-30162-3
- A. Zschunke (ed.), Reference materials in analytical chemistry – a guide for selection and use, Springer, 2000, ISBN 3-540-66776-8

Leaflets

- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):
 - AMC TB 17A-2008, Test for 'sufficient homogeneity' in a reference material

Proficiency testing

Websites and web resources

- Eptis Proficiency Scheme database (www.eptis.org)

Standards

- ISO 13528:2022. Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparisons (www.iso.org)
- ISO/IEC 17043:2023. Conformity assessment – General requirements for the competence of proficiency testing providers (www.iso.org)

Guides

- B. Brookman and I. Mann (Eds) Eurachem Guide: Selection, use and interpretation of proficiency testing (PT) schemes by laboratories (3rd ed. 2021) (www.eurachem.org)
- EA-4/18 G: 2021, Guidance on the level and frequency of proficiency testing participation, (www.european-accreditation.org)
- EA-4/21 INF: 2018, Guidelines for the assessment of the appropriateness of small interlaboratory comparisons within the process of laboratory accreditation (www.european-accreditation.org)

Leaflets

- Eurachem information leaflets (www.eurachem.org)
 - Let's call a PT scheme a PT scheme! (2022)
 - How to investigate poor performance in proficiency testing (2nd edition, 2022)
 - Use of surplus proficiency test items (2nd edition, 2022)
 - Proficiency testing – How much and how often? (2nd edition, 2022)
 - Selecting the right proficiency testing scheme for my laboratory (2nd edition, 2022)
 - How can proficiency testing help my laboratory? (2nd edition, 2022)
 - Pre- and post-analytical proficiency testing (2nd edition, 2022)
 - Proficiency testing schemes and other interlaboratory comparisons (2nd edition, 2022)
 - Proficiency testing schemes for sampling (2020)
- Eurolab cookbooks (<https://www.eurolab.org/pubs-cookbooks>)
 - Doc No. 2, Criteria for the selection of a proficiency testing scheme, revision no. 4, 07/2020
 - Doc No. 4, Use of interlaboratory comparison data by laboratories, revision no. 5, 02/2021
 - Doc No. 17, Interlaboratory comparison: The views of laboratories, revision no. 3, 10/2018
- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):
 - AMC TB 78-2017 Proficiency testing of sampling
 - AMC TB 74-2016, z-Scores and other scores in chemical proficiency testing – their meanings, and some common misconceptions
 - AMC TB 68-2015, Fitness for purpose: the key feature in analytical proficiency testing
 - AMC TB 56-2013, What causes most errors in chemical analysis?

- AMC TB 19A-2008, General and specific fitness functions for proficiency tests and other purposes – clarifying an old idea
- AMC TB 18A-2005, What is proficiency testing? Guide for end-users of chemical data
- AMC TB 18-2004, GMO Proficiency testing: Interpreting z-scores derived from log-transformed data
- AMC TB 16-2004 (revised April 2007), Proficiency testing: assessing z-scores in the longer term
- AMC TB 11-2002, Understanding and acting on scores obtained in proficiency testing schemes
- AMC TB 02-2000 (revised December 2005), How to combine proficiency test results with your own uncertainty estimate – the zeta score

Articles and reports

- ILAC B6:06/2019, Benefits for laboratories participating in proficiency testing programs (www.ilac.org)
- I. Kuselman, A. Fajgelj, IUPAC/CITAC Guide: Selection and use of proficiency testing schemes for a limited number of participants – chemical analytical laboratories (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl. Chem., 2010, 82(5), 1099-1135, <https://doi.org/10.1351/PAC-REP-09-08-15>
- M. Thompson, S. L. R. Ellison, R. Wood, The International Harmonized Protocol for the proficiency testing of analytical chemistry laboratories, IUPAC Technical Report, Pure Appl. Chem., 2006, 78(1), 145-196, <https://doi.org/10.1351/pac200678010145>

Internal quality control

Standards

- ISO Guide 80:2014. Guidance for the in-house preparation of quality control materials (QCMs) (www.iso.org)
- ISO 7870-1:2019. Control charts – Part 1: General guidelines (www.iso.org)
- ISO 7870-2:2023. Control charts – Part 2: Shewhart control charts (www.iso.org)
- ISO 7870-3:2020. Control charts – Part 3: Acceptance control charts (www.iso.org)
- ISO 7870-4:2021. Control charts – Part 4: Cumulative sum charts (www.iso.org)
- ISO/TS 13530:2009. Water quality – Guidance on analytical quality control for chemical and physicochemical water analysis (www.iso.org)

Guides

- Internal Quality ConTROLL, Handbook for chemical laboratories, Edition 5.1, Nordtest Report TR569, 2018 (www.nordtest.info)

Leaflets

- Eurolab cookbooks (<https://www.eurolab.org/pubs-cookbooks>)
 - Doc No. 20, Planning of activities to ensure the validity of test results, revision no. 3, 12/2020
- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):
 - AMC TB 51-2012, Quality control of routine sampling in chemical analysis
 - AMC TB 49-2011, Sporadic blunders
 - AMC TB 46-2010, Internal quality control in routine analysis
 - AMC TB 12-2003, The J-chart: a simple plot that combines the capabilities of Shewhart and cusum charts, for use in analytical quality control
 - AMC TB 09-2001, A simple fitness-for-purpose control chart based on duplicate results obtained from routine test materials

Articles and reports

- M. Thompson, B. Magnusson, Methodology in internal quality control of chemical analysis, Accred. Qual. Assur., 2013, 18, 271-278, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00769-013-0955-1>
- M. J. Gardner, Quality control techniques for chemical analysis: some current shortcomings and possible future developments, Accred. Qual. Assur., 2007, 12, 653-657, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00769-007-0314-1>
- M. Thompson, R. Wood, Harmonized guidelines for internal quality control in analytical chemistry laboratories, Pure Appl. Chem., 1995, 67, 49-56, <https://doi.org/10.1515/iupac.67.0135>

Quality assurance and accreditation

Standards

- ISO 78-2:1999. Chemistry – Layouts for standards – Part 2: Methods of chemical analysis (www.iso.org)
- ISO 9000:2015. Quality management systems – Fundamentals and vocabulary (www.iso.org)
- ISO 9001:2015. Quality management systems – Requirements (www.iso.org)
- ISO 9004:2018. Quality management – Quality of an organization – Guidance to achieve sustained success (www.iso.org)
- ISO 13485:2016. Medical devices – Quality management systems – Requirements for regulatory purposes (www.iso.org/)
- ISO 15189:2022. Medical laboratories – Requirements for quality and competence (www.iso.org)
- ISO 15193:2009. In vitro diagnostic medical devices – Measurement of quantities in samples of biological origin – Requirements for content and presentation of reference measurement procedures (www.iso.org)
- ISO 15194:2009. In vitro diagnostic medical devices – Measurement of quantities in samples of biological origin – Requirements for certified reference materials and the content of supporting documentation (www.iso.org)
- ISO 15195:2018. Laboratory medicine – Requirements for the competence of calibration laboratories using reference measurement procedures (www.iso.org)
- ISO/IEC 17000:2020. Conformity assessment. Vocabulary and general principles (www.iso.org)
- ISO/IEC 17011:2017. Conformity assessment – Requirements for accreditation bodies accrediting conformity assessment bodies (www.iso.org)
- ISO/IEC 17024:2012. Conformity assessment – General requirements for bodies operating certification of persons (www.iso.org)
- ISO/IEC 17025:2017. General requirements for the competence of calibrating and testing laboratories (www.iso.org)
- ISO 19011:2018. Guidelines for auditing management systems (www.iso.org)
- ISO/TS 20658:2017. Medical laboratories – Requirements for collection, transport, receipt, and handling of samples (www.iso.org)
- ISO 22367:2020. Medical laboratories – Application of risk management to medical laboratories (www.iso.org)
- ISO 31000:2018. Risk management — Guidelines (www.iso.org)
- ISO 35001:2019. Biorisk management for laboratories and other related organisations (www.iso.org)

Guides

- B. Magnusson, K. C. Tsimillis (Eds), Eurachem guide: Accreditation for laboratories performing microbiological testing (3rd ed. 2023) ISBN 978-91-519-6581-9 (www.eurachem.org)
- Tested & Accepted – Implementing ISO/IEC 17025:2017, United Nations Industrial Development Organisation (UNIDO), 2020 (https://www.unido.org/sites/default/files/files/2020-06/Guide%20ISO%2017025-2017_online.pdf)
- V. Barwick (Ed) Eurachem/CITAC: Guide to quality in analytical chemistry: An aid to accreditation (3rd ed. 2016). ISBN 978-0-948926-32-7 (www.eurachem.org)
- Quality assurance for research and development and non-routine analysis, Eurachem/CITAC, 1998 (www.eurachem.org)

- EA-2/15 M:2019, EA Requirements for the accreditation of flexible scopes, rev 01, April 2019 (www.european-accreditation.org)
- EA-4/09 G: 2022, Accreditation for sensory testing laboratories, rev.03, Jun 2022, (www.european-accreditation.org)
- EA-4/20 G (rev.01) Guidance for the assessment of laboratories against EN ISO 15189 and EN ISO 22870 Point-of Care Testing (POCT) May 2021 (www.european-accreditation.org)
- EA-4/22 G: 2018 EA Guidance on Accreditation of Pesticide Residues Analysis in Food and Feed. Rev. 00, November 2018 (www.european-accreditation.org)
- EA-4/23 INF:2019, EA The Assessment and Accreditation of Opinions and Interpretations using ISO/IEC 17025:2017, rev 01, October 2019 (www.european-accreditation.org)
- UKAS Guidance on the Application of ISO/IEC 17025:2017 Dealing with Expressions of Opinions and Interpretations, LAB 13, Edition 4, UKAS, 2022 (www.ukas.com)
- Accreditation A tool to support regulators, European cooperation for Accreditation of Laboratories, 2021 (www.european-accreditation.org)
- ILAC G7:04/2021, Accreditation requirements and operating criteria for horseracing laboratories (www.ilac.org)
- ILAC G18:04/2021, Guidelines for describing scopes of accreditation (www.ilac.org)
- ILAC G19:06/2022, Modules in a forensic science process (www.ilac.org)
- ILAC G26:11/2018, Guidance for the Implementation of a Medical Accreditation Scheme (www.ilac.org)
- ILAC G29:06/2020, Guidelines for harmonization of scopes of ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation of WADA anti-doping laboratories (www.ilac.org)
- UKAS policy and general guidance for the implementation and management of flexible scopes of accreditation (GEN 4), UKAS, 2019 (www.ukas.com)

Books

- B. W. Wencławiak, M. Koch, E. Hadjicostas, Quality assurance in analytical chemistry: Training and teaching, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2010, ISBN 978 3642136085
- E. Prichard, V. Barwick, Quality assurance in analytical chemistry, Wiley, 2007, ISBN 978-0-470-01204-8
- W. Funk, V. Dammann, G. Donnevert, S. Iannelli, E. Iannelli, Quality Assurance in Analytical Chemistry: Applications in Environmental, Food and Materials Analysis, Biotechnology and Medical Engineering, Wiley VCH, 2006, ISBN 978-3527311149
- D. B. Hibbert, J. J. Golding, Quality assurance in the analytical chemistry laboratory, Oxford University Press, 2006, ISBN 0195162129
- G. E. Baiulescu, R.-I. Stefan, H. Y. Aboul-Enein, Quality and reliability in analytical chemistry (Analytical Chemistry Series), CRC Press Inc, 2000, ISBN 978 0849323762
- F. Garfield, M. E. Klesta, J. Hirsch, Quality assurance principles for analytical laboratories, 3rd Edition, AOAC International, 2000, ISBN 0 935584 70 6
- J. Kenkel, A primer on quality in the analytical laboratory, Lewis Publishers, 1999, ISBN 1 566 70516 9

Leaflets

- Eurachem information leaflets (www.eurachem.org)
 - ISO/IEC 17025:2017 – A New Accreditation Standard (2018)
- Eurolab cookbooks (<https://www.eurolab.org/pubs-cookbooks>)

- Doc No. 6, How to assess the competence of staff, revision no. 4, 10/2018
- Doc No. 7, Management reviews for laboratories, revision no. 3, 09/2018
- Doc No. 9, Internal audits, revision no. 4, 09/2018
- Doc No. 10, Internal audits, the auditor, revision no. 3, 09/2018
- Doc No. 14, Internal audits, audit report, revision no. 4, 10/2018
- Doc No. 16, Corrective action, revision no. 3, 10/2018
- Doc No. 18, Risk based approach, revision no. 4, 04/2021
- AMC Technical Briefs, RSC, (<https://www.rsc.org/membership-and-community/connect-with-others/join-scientific-networks/subject-communities/analytical-science-community/amc/technical-briefs/>):
 - AMC TB 28-2007, Using analytical instruments and systems in a regulated environment